02-11-05

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) FTO/SB/21 (09-04) PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 7/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

OIPE CIES

TRANSMITTAL FORM

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

9

Application Number	10/710,449
Filing Date	July 12, 2004
First Named Inventor	Welker, Brian H.
Art Unit	2859
Examiner Name	Verbitsky, Gail Kaplan
Attorney Docket Number	716919.78

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmitta	al Form		Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication TC	
Fee Attac	hed		Licensing-rela	ated Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / F	Reply		Petition	•		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Fina	ı		Petition to Co	nvert to a Provisional		Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/	Affidavits/declaration(s) Power of Attorney, Revo		•	s	Status Letter		
Extension of Ti	Extension of Time Request Terminal Disclaimer		claimer	\boxtimes	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify		
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund		below); return postcard			
Information Disclosure Statement CD, Number of CD(s)		of CD(s)					
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Landscape Table on CD							
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		Remarks:					
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53							
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm Name	Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin, LLP						
Signature	Robert Leurs						
Printed Name	nted Name Robert J. Lewis						
Date	2/,	0/05			Reg. No	. 27,210	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING							
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as Express Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Express Mail Label No.: EV 528422753 US							
Signature	ature Pamela Fouster						
		Pamela F			Date	Fret. 10, 2005	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of: Brian H. Welker

Examiner: Gail Kaplan Verbitsky

Serial No.: 10/710,449

Group Art Unit: 2859

Filed: 07/12/2004 Attorney Docket No.: 716919.78

For: Temperature Probe and

Insertion Device

Customer No.: 27128

Confirmation No.: 4448

Last Office Action: November 15, 2004

AMENDMENT A

Commissioner for Patents Mail Stop Amendment P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The following amendments and remarks are provided in response to the Office Action mailed November 15, 2004. Amendments to the claims begin on page 2. The remarks begin on page 5.

The subject application was interviewed with Examiner Verbitsky on February 7, 2005. The rejections of all the claims were discussed but no formal agreement was reached with the Examiner as to allowability of claims. However, it was agreed that certain amendments would be made which the Examiner believed would overcome the rejections of the claims.